



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/220,993	12/28/1998	BERNIE G. JANSEN	91436-147	5340
33000	7590	03/23/2006	EXAMINER	
DOCKET CLERK			SONG, HOSUK	
P.O. DRAWER 800889			ART UNIT	
DALLAS, TX 75380			PAPER NUMBER	

2135

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/220,993

Applicant(s)

JANSEN ET AL.

Examiner

HOSUK SONG

Art Unit

2135

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 13-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 13-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114*

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/23/04 has been entered.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 2-10,13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faltings(US 5,705,982).

Claim 2: Faltings disclose intercepting at least one key code and determining if the intercepted at least one key code is authorized in (col.5,lines 2-5,11-14). Faltings disclose blocking the intercepted at least one key code if the intercepted at least one key code is not authorized wherein intercepting a plurality of key codes determines if plurality of key codes comprises an authorized key sequence in (col.5,lines 8-21).

Claim 3: Faltings disclose displaying a message if the intercepted key code is not authorized in (col.5,lines 8-10).

Claim 4: Faltings disclose comparing intercepted at least one key code with a table in (fig.7).

Claim 5: Faltings disclose storing key code in device state storage if key code is authorized in(fig.6).

Claim 6: Faltings disclose key code is received from an event queue in (fig.6).

Claims 7-10: Faltings disclose temporarily storing intercepted key codes in a buffer and comparing at least two of temporarily stored codes with a table in (fig.7).

Claim 13: Faltings disclose intercepting a key code and determining if the intercepted key code is authorized in (col.5,lines 2-5,11-14). Faltings disclose passing the intercepted key code to a key map if the intercepted key code is authorized in (col.5,lines 8-21).

Claim 14: Faltings disclose intercepting a plurality of key codes each key code representing at least one keystroke and determining if plurality of key codes comprise an authorized sequence in (col.5,lines 2-5,11-14).Faltings disclose passing the intercepted key code to a key map if the intercepted key code authorized in (col.5,lines 8-21).

Claims 15,16: Faltings disclose intercepting a key code and determining if the intercepted key code is authorized in (col.5,lines 2-5,11-14). Faltings disclose passing the intercepted key code to a key map if the intercepted key code is authorized in (col.5,lines 8-21).

Claim 17: Faltings disclose displaying a message if the intercepted key code is not authorized in (col.5,lines 8-10).

Claim 18: Faltings disclose comparing intercepted at least one key code with a table in (fig.7).

Claim 19: Faltings disclose storing key code in device state storage if key code is authorized in(fig.6).

Claim 20: Faltings disclose intercepting a key code and determine if the intercepted code is authorized in (col.5,lines 2-5,11-14). Faltings disclose passing the intercepted key code to a key map if the intercepted key code is authorized in (col.5,lines 8-21).

***Response to Applicant's Arguments***

Art Unit: 2135

3. In response to election requirement, applicant elects the claims of Group I, claims 2-10 and 13-20 with traverse. The applicant has argued that on three separate occasions, fully examined and allowed claims 2-20. No restriction requirement was ever made with respect to claims 2-20 and, therefore the Office's prior conduct in fully examining claims 2-20 is conclusive in that these claims are not properly the subject of a restriction requirement and are not independent or distinct. In response: please note that this application was examined by a different examiner on three separate occasions. This application is now docketed to new examiner. Based on thorough review of the claims, the examiner asserts that restriction requirement is proper.

***USPTO Contact Information***

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Song whose telephone number is 5712723857. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KIM VU can be reached on 5712723859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
HOSUK SONG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER